

## Las Cruces, NM

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2017



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

## **Summary**

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Las Cruces' performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Las Cruces' community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it — Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Broadly, most aspects of Community Characteristics and Participation received positive ratings, while aspects of Governance were mixed. Within the pillar of Community Characteristics, aspects of Built Environment, Economy and Recreation and Wellness tended to receive ratings that were lower than the benchmark. Within Governance, aspects of Safety, Mobility, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness and Education and Enrichment tended to be lower than the benchmark comparison. In the third pillar, fewer residents participated in behaviors and activities related to Mobility compared to their peers, while participation levels in all the other facets were similar to the benchmark. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

3										
	Community Characteristics				Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	1	32	17	0	23	23	1	31	3	
General	1	5	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	
Safety	0	3	0	0	1	6	0	3	0	
Mobility	0	8	0	0	3	5	0	1	2	
Natural Environment	0	2	1	0	5	1	0	3	0	
Built Environment	0	2	3	0	3	4	0	1	1	
Economy	0	2	6	0	0	1	1	2	0	
Recreation and Wellness	0	2	4	0	0	4	0	5	0	
Education and Enrichment	0	4	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	
Community Engagement	0	4	1	0	8	0	0	11	0	

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

## The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%	Customer service	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Recommend Las Cruces	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%
	Overall quality of life	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%	Services provided by Las Cruces	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Remain in Las Cruces	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	79%
General	Place to retire	$\leftrightarrow$	1	82%	Services provided by the Federal Government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	45%	Contacted Las Cruces employees	1	$\leftrightarrow$	44%
Ge	Place to raise children	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	62%								
	Place to live	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	79%								
	Neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%								
	Overall image	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%							↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↔ ↔	
	Overall feeling of safety	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%	Police	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	61%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%
	Safe in neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%	Crime prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	46%	Did NOT report a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%
ety	Safe downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%	Fire	1	$\leftrightarrow$	79%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%
Safety					Fire prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	<b></b>	60%				
•,					Ambulance/EMS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	72%				
					Emergency preparedness	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	35%				
					Animal control	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	47%				
	Traffic flow	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	41%	Traffic enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	1	43%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	44%
	Travel by car	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Street repair	$\leftrightarrow$	1	24%	Walked or biked instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	1	46%
Mobility	Travel by bicycle	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	40%	Street cleaning	1	1	43%	Used public transportation instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	1	10%
Mo	Ease of walking	1	$\leftrightarrow$	60%	Street lighting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	48%	j			
	Travel by public transportation	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%	Snow removal	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	45%				
	Overall ease travel	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	70%	Sidewalk maintenance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	37%				
	Public parking	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Traffic signal timing	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	34%				
	Paths and walking trails	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	54%	Bus or transit services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	41%				
	Overall natural environment	1	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Garbage collection	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%	Recycled at home	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	94%
Ħ	Air quality	↔	$\leftrightarrow$	73%	Recycling	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%	Conserved water	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	90%
Natural Environment	Cleanliness	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	53%	Yard waste pick-up	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	59%	Made home more energy efficient	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	77%
Na ĕr					Drinking water	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	52%				
ᇤ					Open space	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	54%				
					Natural areas preservation	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	47%				
	New development in Las Cruces	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	38%	Sewer services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%
Built Environment	Affordable quality housing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	45%	Storm drainage	1	<b>1</b>	32%	Did NOT observe a code violation	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b> 1	33%
ior	Housing options	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	48%	Power utility	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%				
2	Overall built environment	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	46%	Utility billing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%				
3uilt E	Public places	$\leftrightarrow$	<u></u>	48%	Land use, planning and zoning	1	<u></u>	25%				
ш					Code enforcement		<u> </u>	29%				
					Cable television	$\leftrightarrow$	l l	40%				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$ 

## The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmar k	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmar k	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	$\leftrightarrow$	11	31%	Economic development	<b>1</b>	Ţ	28%	Economy will have positive impact on income	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	22%
	Shopping opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	41%					Purchased goods or services in Las Cruces	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	98%
E	Employment opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	17%					Work in Las Cruces	$\leftrightarrow$	<u> </u>	58%
2	Place to visit	1	$\leftrightarrow$	64%								
Community Engagement Enrichment Recreation and Wellness Economy	Cost of living	1	$\leftrightarrow$	56%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↓</b>	28%								
	Place to work	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	41%								
	Business and services	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	44%								
SS	Fitness opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	63%	City parks	$\leftrightarrow$	1	60%	In very good to excellent health	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	55%
/ellne	Recreational opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	52%	Recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	ļ	45%	Used Las Cruces recreation centers	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	59%
5	Health care	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	39%	Recreation programs	$\leftrightarrow$		48%	Visited a City park	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%
on and	Mental health care	$\leftrightarrow$	Į į	28%	Health services	$\leftrightarrow$	Ì	47%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%
creation	Health and wellness	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	53%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%
Re	Preventive health services	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>1</b>	46%								
5	K-12 education	l l	11	38%	Public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	1	64%	Used Las Cruces public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%
ig i	Cultural/arts/music activities	↔	$\leftrightarrow$	50%	Special events	$\leftrightarrow$	i i	49%	Attended a City-sponsored event	1	$\leftrightarrow$	59%
ᇤ	Child care/preschool	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	42%								
ic da	Adult education	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	59%								
Educ	Overall education and enrichment	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Public information	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	46%	Sense of community	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	51%
ĺ	Opportunities to volunteer	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Overall direction	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	47%	Voted in local elections	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%
	Openness and acceptance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	55%	Value of services for taxes paid	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	40%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%
emen	Social events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>+</b>	45%	Welcoming citizen involvement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%	Attended a local public meeting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	18%
unity Engage	Neighborliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	52%	Confidence in City government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	36%	Watched a local public meeting	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	30%
					Acting in the best interest of Las Cruces	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	42%	Volunteered	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	42%
Ĭ					Being honest	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	38%	Participated in a club	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	29%
Con					Treating all residents fairly	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	43%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	28%
									Contacted Las Cruces elected officials	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	23%
									Read or watched local news	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	76%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher}\qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher}\qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar}\qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower}\qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower}\qquad ^*\quad \text{Not available}$